EXHIBIT B

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 2 of 22

		Page 1
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ROUGH DRAFT	
2	UNCERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT DISCLAIMER IN THE MATTER OF:	09:45
3		09:45
4	The following transcript of proceedings, or any portion	09:45
5	thereof, in the above-entitled matter, taken on any	09:45
6	date, is being delivered UNEDITED and UNCERTIFIED by	09:45
7	the official court reporter of the proceedings.	09:45
8		09:45
9	This transcript has not been checked, proofread or	09:45
10	corrected. It is a DRAFT transcript, NOT a certified	09:45
11	transcript. As such, it may contain omissions,	09:45
12	computer-generated mistranslations of stenotype code or	09:45
13	electronic transmission errors, resulting in inaccurate	09:45
14	or nonsensical word combinations, or untranslated	09:45
15	stenotype symbols which cannot be deciphered by	09:45
16	nonstenotypists. In some cases, corrections will be	09:45
17	made in the preparation of the certified transcript,	09:45
18	resulting in differences in content, page and line	09:45
19	numbers, punctuation and formatting.	09:45
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning, Ladies	09:45
21	and Gentlemen. This is the begin of of tape	09:46
22	number 1 in the video deposition of Frank M.	09:46
23	Ferraro, MD, it's December 22nd, 2011. It's	09:46
24	9:46 a.m. The case is James Zimmerman, Marv	09:46
25	Pinzon and William G. Vazquez, plaintiff,	09:46

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 3 of 22

			Page 45
1		ROUGH DRAFT	
2	Q	(BY MR. SPALTEN) Please take a look at	10:45
3	Ferraro 2	2.	10:45
4		Okay. Have you ever seen this document	10:45
5	before?		10:45
6	A	My signature is on it, so I must have.	10:45
7	Q	Do you	10:45
8	А	I don't recall it.	10:45
9	Q	You you don't recall this document,	10:45
10	though?		10:45
11	А	No.	10:45
12	Q	Okay.	10:46
13	A	My signature is on it, though. That is my	10:46
14	signature	. .	10:46
15	Q	Okay. Tell me which page your signature	10:46
16	appears (on this document.	10:46
17	A	30217.	10:46
18	Q	It appears on a subscription agreement,	10:46
19	correct?		10:46
20	A	That's what it says at the top.	10:46
21	Q	And is is that subscription agreement	10:46
22	dated?		10:46
23	A	No, sir.	10:46
24	Q	Okay. Do you know when you signed this?	10:46
25	A	No, sir.	10:46
İ			ļ

			Page 46
1		ROUGH DRAFT	-
2	Q	Take a look at Bates number 003021.	10:46
3	A	00302	10:46
4	Q	11.	10:47
5	А	11.	10:47
6	Q	Your signature appears there, correct?	10:47
7	A	Correct.	10:47
8	Q	And is this document dated?	10:47
9	A	No, sir.	10:47
10	Q	Okay. Do you know whether it first of	10:47
11	all, do y	ou know whether you signed this document?	10:47
12	A	That's my signature.	10:47
13	Q	Do you have a specific recollection of having	10:47
14	signed th	is document?	10:47
15	A	No, sir.	10:47
16	Q	Do you know when you signed it?	10:47
17	A	No, sir.	10:47
18	Q	Do you recall any correspondence between	10:47
19	anyone at	Quantum medical radiology and Mr. Youra, not	10:47
20	regarding	the substance of this agreement, but	10:47
21	transmitt	ing copies of this agreement prior to its	10:48
22	your sign	ing it?	10:48
23	А	No, sir.	10:48
24	Q	Do you recall any written communication about	10:48
25	a U.S. te	leradiology, LLC operating agreement between	10:48

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 5 of 22

		Page 47
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	any of the existing or future partners in the company	10:48
3	of at any time?	10:48
4	A We tried to make multiple attempts to expand	10:48
5	U.S. teleradiology's structure to include new people.	10:48
6	So there were many	10:48
7	Q Well, I'm talking about this one now to be	10:48
8	clear?	10:48
9	A This one?	10:48
10	Q In the 2002 time frame. And I simply	10:48
11	mentioned the 2002 time frame because that's what	10:48
12	appears on Bates 0030217. And other pages of this	10:48
13	document.	10:48
14	A I don't recall at this time.	10:48
15	Q Okay. So you don't know if there are any	10:49
16	written communications leading up to or otherwise	10:49
17	referring to this document in the 2002 time frame?	10:49
18	A Nine years ago, I do not recall.	10:49
19	MR. MARSHALL: Off the record just for a	10:50
20	second.	10:50
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:49. We're off the	10:50
22	record.	10:50
23	(Whereupon, there was a discussion off the record.)	10:50
24	**AUDIO OFF.	10:50
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:50. We're back on the	10:50

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 6 of 22

		Page 64
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	2004, doesn't it?	11:25
3	A Right.	11:25
4	Q Okay.	11:25
5	A I'm confused.	11:25
6	Q Okay. So you just don't know where this	11:25
7	document came from?	11:25
8	A Correct.	11:25
9	Q Okay.	11:25
10	A I have no idea.	11:25
11	Q What does the Bates stamp on the lower right	11:25
12	of the front page of that document read?	11:25
13	A What's a date stamp?	11:26
14	Q Bait. Do you know what a Bates stamp is?	11:26
15	A No.	11:26
16	Q It's a it's a number that is placed on a	11:26
17	document in discovery proceedings in litigation like	11:26
18	this, and it designates the party producing it as well	11:26
19	as the sequence of the document in the production.	11:26
20	What what is that Bates stamp?	11:26
21	A QMR 000233.	11:26
22	Q Okay. All right. Take a look now at number	11:26
23	5.	11:26
24	A Yes, sir.	11:26
25	Q Have you ever seen this document before?	11:26

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 7 of 22

		Page 65
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	A I believe I have seen this document before.	11:26
3	Q Okay. What is it?	11:26
4	A U.S. tele radiology LLC operating agreement	11:27
5	dated as of January 2nd, 2004.	11:27
6	Q When was the last time you saw this document?	11:27
7	A Probably in 2004.	11:27
8	Q Did you review this document in preparation	11:27
9	for this deposition?	11:27
10	A I don't recall reviewing it document.	11:27
11	Q Has anyone ever shown you this document in	11:27
12	connection with this litigation?	11 : 27
13	A I don't recall. I don't	11:27
14	Q Does that mean you don't think they did or	11:27
15	you you're just not sure?	11:27
16	A I don't recall. I I don't know. Now I'm	11:27
17	very confused. I'm sorry.	11:27
18	Q All right. Do you remember discussions among	11:27
19	board members of QMR regarding the preparation of an	11:27
20	operating agreement of U.S. teleradiology in early	11:27
21	2004?	11 : 28
22	A There were many discussions about operating	11 : 28
23	agreements for U.S. teleradiology. We were trying to	11:28
24	figure out a away to form the company that would be a	11:28
25	template for the company to grow with. And there were	11:28

		Page 66
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	very few teleradiology companies that were physician	11:28
3	owned. I don't know there were any back then. There	11:28
4	were no teleradiology companies that did not have	11:28
5	outside capital in them, like I mentioned Shear Ahern	11:28
6	was really owned by MedPartners. Nighthawk was	11:28
7	financed by summit partners, a hedge fund, and so we	11:28
8	were trying to figure out a process.	11:28
9	Q Was that as to the 2002 operating agreement	11:28
10	that that was previously shown to you?	11:29
11	A What was true?	11:29
12	Q Were there discussions of the nature you just	11:29
13	described regarding the 2002 operating agreement you	11:29
14	testified to?	11:29
15	A I don't recall discussions, but somehow it	11:29
16	had to be produced, so I'm sure there were discussions.	11:29
17	Q Okay. How about as to the Exhibit 5; do you	11:29
18	recall discussions about Exhibit 5 in	11:29
19	A There were discussions.	11:29
20	Q in late 2003 or early 2004?	11:29
21	A There were discussions about how to make this	11:29
22	work for years.	11:29
23	Q Okay. Do was was there any email or	11:29
24	other written correspondence prior to the creation of	11:29
25	Exhibit 5, the document that is Exhibit 5, between the	11:30

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 9 of 22

1 2 b	ROUGH DRAFT oard members of QMR?	11:30
		11:30
3		
	A I don't recall emails. A lot of this stuff,	11:30
4 y	ou have to realize, I don't know how to type. I hunt	11:30
5 a	nd peck, okay? Dr. McAllister is very computer	11:30
6 p	hobic, as a matter of fact when we started he had	11:30
7 0	ther people touch the mouse and Dr. Roche is in	11:30
8 h	is '60s so we really discuss things a lot on the	11:30
9 p	hone. There wasn't a lot of emails going back and	11:30
10 f.	orth. I probably was the most prolific email writer	11:30
11 a:	nd I know you have access to all my emails, they were	11:30
12 p	rovided unfettered access to all our accounts, you can	11:30
13 s	ee that, you know, I type R for R is the letter for	11:30
14 a.	re, U is the letter for YOU I mean I'm a hunt and peck	11:30
15 a	re so we really weren't it's not conducive when you	11:31
16 o:	nly have a few people it's much easier to just put	11:31
17 p.	eople on the phone with each other.	11:31
18	Q Okay. Well in 2003 we've seen a letter from	11:31
19 D	r. Zimmerman relating to his buy-in to QMR and here	11:31
20 d.	ated January 2nd, 2004, we have Exhibit 5, which is an	11:31
21 o _]	perating agreement of U.S. teleradiology, LLC,	11:31
22 c	orrect?	11:31
23	A 2003, 2004, yes, sir.	11:31
24	Q Okay. And	11:31
25	A Sorry.	11:31

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 10 of 22

		Page 68
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	Q Who are the listed members of U.S.	11 : 31
3	teleradiology, LLC, as of January 2nd, 2004, according	11:31
4	to Exhibit 5?	11:31
5	A Who are the listed members? Is there a	11:32
6	particular spot where it's listed?	11 : 32
7	Q Take a look on page 28, which is Bates	11:32
8	labeled QMR 0030189.	11:32
9	A 189. Undersigned have executed this	11:32
10	agreement as of the date set first set forth above.	11:32
11	The people who have signed this document are myself,	11:32
12	Dr. Roche, and Dr. McAllister.	11:32
13	Q Okay. And who else are listed as members?	11 : 32
14	A Dr	11:32
15	MR. PERLOWSKI: Object to the form of	11 : 32
16	the question.	11 : 32
17	THE WITNESS: There's two other names on	11:32
18	the piece of paper.	11:32
19	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Under members?	11:32
20	A Under members there are two other names.	11:32
21	Q And what	11:32
22	A Dr. James Zimmerman and Dr. Marv Pinzon are	11:32
23	typed out but there's no signature for either one.	11 : 32
24	Q Okay. And on Exhibit A, which is the next	11:32
25	page, the following page, page 29, Bates'd 0030190,	11:32

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 11 of 22

		Page 69
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	what are the capital contributions, units issued and	11:33
3	names listed on there?	11:33
4	A There is no there are five names listed,	11:33
5	capital contributions are blank, units issued are	11:33
6	blanks, it discusses class of shares, and discusses	11:33
7	total units issued but doesn't have a total. It just	11:33
8	says 1 million, 1 million, 1 million, 1 million, 1	11:33
9	million.	11:33
10	Q Okay. Now, do you recall any correspondence	11:33
11	amongst any board members of U.S. teleradiology and	11:33
12	either Dr. Zimmerman or Dr. Pinzon regarding	11:33
13	Dr. Zimmerman or Dr. Pinzon's membership in UST in	11:33
14	connection with the creation of this document?	11:34
15	A Do I recall correspondence between	11:34
16	Dr. Zimmerman and Dr. Pinzon with the other members in	11:34
17	creation of this document?	11:34
18	Q And in in particular, with regard to	11:34
19	Dr. Zimmerman and Dr. Pinzon's membership in UST?	11:34
20	A Membership in UST. I believe there were	11:34
21	multiple emails that Dr. Zimmerman may have sent I	11:34
22	don't do I remember any in particular? No. I	11:34
23	believe that there was some correspondence, but I don't	11:34
24	remember any particular email that sticks you know,	11:34
25	I I think there was some conversation I believe that	11:34
		l de la companya de

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 12 of 22

		Page 73
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	times to figure out a way that we could come together	11:39
3	to make this work.	11:39
4	Q Okay. So did you?	11:40
5	MR. PERLOWSKI: Let me I just want to	11:40
6	want to say for the purposes of clarifying	11:40
7	the record that when Dr. Ferraro was	11:40
8	referring to a document in his answer, he was	11:40
9	referring to Exhibit 3.	11:40
10	MR. SPALTEN: Thank you {CHECK THIS}.	11:40
11	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Why were doctors Zimmerman	11:40
12	and Dr. Pinzon listed on page 28 of Exhibit 5?	11:40
13	A I will speculate	11:40
14	Q Well, first, let me ask, do you know?	11:40
15	A I didn't write the document so I	11:40
16	Q Well you you were a member of the board of	11:41
17	US teleradiology at that point, weren't you?	11:41
18	A Yes, sir.	11:41
19	Q And who communicated with counsel well,	11:41
20	first of all, do you know who wrote this?	11:41
21	A It's either I can give you two people it's	11:41
22	either one other the other or three I'm sorry it's Ben	11:41
23	Barkley at Kilpatrick or Michael golden of Arnall	11:41
24	Golden Gregory so it's one of those three {CHECK THIS}.	11:41
25	Q Okay. Now, did you have the relationship	11:41

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 13 of 22

		Page 74
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	with Kilpatrick?	11:41
3	A I knew Ben Barkley but I also brought my	11:41
4	partners in to the relationship. They met with Ben	11:41
5	Barkley several times. He was, I think, the vice	11:41
6	chairman of Kilpatrick. Is it kill Pratt particular	11:41
7	Stockton now or was it Kasowitz, Benson, Torres &	11:41
8	Friedman code de and Kilpatrick. Easy involved it's	11:41
9	evolved over time.	11:41
10	Q Would recognize the reference?	11:41
11	A Okay.	11:41
12	Q I think I can speak for opposing counsel	11:41
13	in	11:42
14	MR. PERLOWSKI: Absolutely.	11:42
15	MR. SPALTEN: Putting it that way.	11:42
16	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Who has been the	11:42
17	transactional counsel for U.S. teleradiology LLC?	11:42
18	A I think Michael I don't know what	11:42
19	transactional counsel I'm sorry I'm answering the	11:42
20	question and I don't know what the word is.	11:42
21	Q The business lawyer who drafts contracts	11:42
22	generally?	11 : 42
23	MR. PERLOWSKI: Object to format what	11:42
24	point in time?	11:42
25	MR. SPALTEN: Well, from its inception	11:42

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 14 of 22

		Page 75
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	forward.	11:42
3	MR. PERLOWSKI: Okay.	11:42
4	THE WITNESS: I believe it's evolved.	11:42
5	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Okay.	11:42
6	A I think it was Greg Youra yeah it had to be	11:42
7	Greg Youra because he did this (indicating).	11:42
8	Q And you're	11:42
9	A I'm sorry, Exhibit number 1. Exhibit so	11:42
10	he did Exhibit number 1.	11:42
11	Q Okay.	11:42
12	A So that was Greg Youra. Could have possibly	11:42
13	went to Kilpatrick Stockton, I don't know if it did. I	11:42
14	know that it's now Michael Golden at Arnall Golden	11:42
15	Gregory.	11:43
16	Q And when did Mr. Golden take over that role?	11:43
17	A Again, you have a piece of paper that says	11:43
18	2004 in front of you. I'm thinking that it could have	11:43
19	been about that time.	11:43
20	Q And who was it prior to that time?	11:43
21	A It was either Ben Barkley or Greg Youra. I'm	11:43
22	not sure.	11:43
23	Q Now, I'm looking at page 28 of Exhibit 5	11:43
24	again, and it is signed under the name company on the	11:43
25	left side, and it appears US tele owe it looks like	11:43

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 15 of 22

		Page 76
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	there's a typo there **[**CK DOCT**] but it's signed by	11:43
3	you, correct?	11:43
4	A Correct. That's	11:43
5	Q And that's your signature?	11:43
6	A That's my name. Approximation.	11:43
7	Q So this document was a document authorized to	11:43
8	be prepared on behalf of U.S. teleradiology, correct?	11:44
9	A Correct.	11:44
10	MR. PERLOWSKI: Object go ahead. Go	11:44
11	ahead. That's fine.	11:44
12	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) And to your knowledge, U.S.	11:44
13	teleradiology's counsel prepared it, correct?	11:44
14	A Correct.	11:44
15	Q Now, do you know why and I'm not asking	11:44
16	you here for any communications with counsel, okay	11:44
17	do you know why counsel included James Zimmerman and	11:44
18	Marv Pinzon's names on page 28, that is Bates 30189?	11:44
19	A Our	11:44
20	MR. PERLOWSKI: Just he's not asking you	11:44
21	to reveal any privileged communications, so I	11:44
22	guess the if you can answer the question	11:44
23	without revealing a privileged communication,	11:44
24	please do so. But if you cannot, don't do	11:44
25	so. Do you understand what I'm.	11:44

		Page 77
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know what a	11:45
3	privileged communication is.	11:45
4	MR. PERLOWSKI: A privileged	11:45
5	communication would be a communication	11:45
6	between attorneys and client. So if to	11:45
7	answer the question you would have to reveal	11:45
8	a communication between legal counsel UST and	11:45
9	yourself you're not to do that.	11:45
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not to do that.	11:45
11	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) I'm sorry?	11:45
12	A Because I I had the discussion with the	11:45
13	lawyer, I guess to do this.	11:45
14	Q All right. Well, let me ask you, independent	11:45
15	of the discussion with the lawyer, what is your	11:45
16	understanding of any discussion between US	11:45
17	teleradiology and Jim Zimmerman and Marv Pinzon that	11:45
18	led to their names appearing on this document?	11:45
19	MR. PERLOWSKI: Can you read the	11:46
20	question back, please.	11:46
21	So, I don't mean to interfere but David,	11:46
22	please, given the witness appears to be confused. That	11:46
23	question does not call for a privileged communication.	11:46
24	The question that David asked is are you aware of	11:46
25	conversations between US teleradiology and either	11:46

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 17 of 22

		Page 78
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	Dr. Zimmerman or Pinzon that resulted or that may have	11:46
3	led to their names being listed on page 28 of Exhibit	11:46
4	5.	11:46
5	THE WITNESS: Why are their names	11:46
6	here.	11:46
7	THE COURT REPORTER: Pardon me say that	11:46
8	again.	11:46
9	THE WITNESS: Why there are names here?	11:46
10	Q I'm trying to be a little more precise?	11:46
11	A I'm sorry.	11:47
12	Q First I'm limiting what communications were	11:47
13	there that led to their names appearing here?	11:47
14	A I don't know if there was any communications.	11:47
15	I mean, I don't I don't	11:47
16	Q Well, why then	11:47
17	A Let. Why then is it there.	11:47
18	Q Yes?	11:47
19	A Because it was everyone's goal and intention	11:47
20	to make the system work and to figure a process	11:47
21	{CHECK THIS} whereby we could add new members to the	11:47
22	organization that was agreeable to the original	11:47
23	partners and to new partners coming on. We could never	11:47
24	get to that point. There was a my perception was	11:47
25	the bargaining position of the new people was this is	11:47

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 18 of 22

		Page 79
1.	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	worth nothing, we should pay nothing for it, it is only	11:47
3	computers and wires, there is no value to it, and we	11:47
4	should be made completely equal with no buy in or	11:47
5	extremely limited buy in. The position of myself	11:47
6	was there was a lot of work, there was a lot of	11:47
7	spade work that went into this, there was a lot of	11:48
8	digging, there was a lot of hours somehow we have to	11:48
9	figure out a way to make it fair to the founders and	11:48
10	bring in new people.	11:48
11	Q All right, well doctor Ferraro?	11:48
12	A So I	11:48
13	Q I absolutely am doing everything I can to in	11:48
14	no way stop you from testifying and I don't intend to	11:48
15	do that. My question really is related to this	11:48
16	document right now, okay? And how it was that their	11:48
17	names ended up on here.	11:48
18	A Because it was	11:48
19	Q	11 : 48
20	A The goal to get them to sign this document	11 : 48
21	and become partners.	11:48
22	Q So there were discussions with them about a	11:48
23	document like this, is that true?	11:48
24	A There were many yeah, discussion about	11:48
25	many documents.	11:48

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 19 of 22

		Page 80
I	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	Q Okay.	11:48
3	A Many different ways.	11:48
4	Q All right. Tell me about what the	11:48
5	discussions were about those gentlemen, Dr. Pinzon and	11:48
6	Dr. Zimmerman's prospective membership in UST prior to	11:49
7	January 2nd, 2004.	11:49
8	A There was UST which was an organization that	11:49
9	provided telemedicine services. We contracted with QMR	11:49
10	to provide the doctors. QMR provided the doctors. We	11:49
11	wanted it was always my intention that one of the	11:49
12	problems with healthcare is that doctors weren't	11:49
13	involved enough.	11:49
14	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Dr. Ferraro?	11:49
15	A I'm sorry.	11:49
16	MR. PERLOWSKI: Dr. Ferraro this let	11:49
17	me.	11:49
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	11:49
19	MR. PERLOWSKI: He's asking about	11:49
20	specific discussions in response his	11:50
21	question was about specific discussions prior	11:50
22	to January 2nd of 2004. If I recall the	11:50
23	question correctly.	11:50
24	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Yeah, and Dr. Ferraro, let	11:50
25	me let me ask you to first address the first	11:50

		Page 81
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	discussions, and now I'm not talking about your	11 : 50
3	underlying thought processes?	11:50
4	A Okay.	11:50
5	Q Okay? I am now asking about what was said in	11:50
6	the first discussions you are aware of, okay, regarding	11:50
7	Dr. Pinzon and Dr. Zimmerman's membership in UST.	11:50
8	Let let me help orient you. When did the first	11:50
9	discussions that you can recall take place?	11:50
10	A I can't. It's just it's a big blur. It	11:50
11	just seems like discussions were always going back and	11:50
12	forth. We were busy taking care of patients, and I	11:50
13	Q So you you cannot recall what those	11:51
14	discussions were?	11:51
15	A Yeah, I just just like little tidbits here	11:51
16	and there but I don't even know I don't have a	11:51
17	diary, I don't have dates, why have any of that, no.	11:51
18	Q That wasn't what I was asking you. I'm	11:51
19	asking about recollection, whether you recall do you	11:51
20	recall any particular discussions with Dr. Pinzon or	11:51
21	Dr. Zimmerman about their understanding or expectations	11:51
22	as to the terms in which they would become members of	11:51
23	UST?	11:51
24	A I I don't want to upset anyone.	11:51
25	Q You're not going to upset anybody?	11:51

		Page 82
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	A Okay, I don't want to	11:51
3	Q We all do this for a living all the time and	11:51
4	this is use our professional activity?	11:51
5	A So I don't want	11:51
6	Q So don't worry about that?	11:51
7	A What I really remember struck me was that	11:51
8	they said there's no value there, there should be no	11:51
9	buy-in and we should all be equal in ownership.	11:51
10	Q When do you recall that conversation taking	11:52
11	place?	11:52
12	A That that theme was the underlying theme	11:52
13	throughout everything.	11:52
14	Q Do you recall a specific conversation in	11:52
15	which they said one or the other of them said that, and	11:52
16	if so, when did it occur?	11:52
17	A It was I remember the conversation that	11:52
18	I'm that I'm referencing was at the OPS building,	11:52
19	which was in Cumberland Mall area, in after a	11:52
20	meeting, myself walking out with Dr. Zimmerman and him	11 : 52
21	saying there's no value there, it's just some computers	11:52
22	and some some wires, and that's all it is. And it	11 : 52
23	struck me as so crazy that that's what he thought about	11:52
24	this, and also it seemed incongruous with the desire to	11:52
25	have totally equal partnership in something that's	11:53

Case 1:09-cv-00605-AT Document 109-2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 22 of 22

		Page 83
1	ROUGH DRAFT	
2	worth nothing.	11:53
3	Q Okay. I'm	11:53
4	A That's the	11:53
5	Q With all with all respect, I'm object to	11:53
6	that that answer as being nonresponsive and move to	11:53
7	strike it. Now	11:53
8	MR. PERLOWSKI: I think I think that	11:53
9	answer was responsive certainly at least the	11:53
10	initial portions of it were responsive to the	11:53
11	question he was referring to a specific	11:53
12	conversation at a specific location.	11:53
13	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Okay. When did that occur?	11 : 53
14	A I I don't know a date. It was dark	11:53
15	outside. That's all I know. I mean, honestly, I I	11:53
16	just remember it being dark. I know exactly where the	11:53
17	court yard it it's it's just like one of those	11:53
18	things that you remember in high school. It's just	11:53
19	there in your head.	11:53
20	Q So you don't recall the year, then?	11:53
21	A No, I do not recall the year.	11:53
22	(NOTE: Neurostar solutions, Inc., cap chart 2/1/05.	11:54
23	Exhibit 6	11:54
24	Q (BY MR. SPALTEN) Please take a look at	11:54
25	Exhibit number 6.	11:54